

### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,575	11/12/2003	Frank L. Hall	4718.1US (00-0316.01/US) 6661	
24247 TRASK BRITT	7590 09/27/2007 Γ	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2550	)	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/706,575	HALL, FRANK L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Samuel M. Heinrich	1725	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, p		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 12-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-17 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinel The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority a	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/16/07</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/706,575

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 12-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse on December 14, 2005.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP410233467A in view of USPN 6,617,681 to Bohr in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 6,221,690 to Taniguchi et al and in view of EP472768A and JP11006073A.

JP410233467A shows (Figures) insulator interposer 3 having a centrally located aperture and ball-grid-array. JP410233467A does not describe silicon oxide coated silicon interposer.

Bohr describes (column 11, last paragraph) an interposer formed of a silicon substrate coated with silicon oxide. The interposer material is very old and well known in the art and would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it is known and it is an available material.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,575

Art Unit: 1725

AAPA discloses well known semiconductor die and carrier substrate package fabrication and describe ([0007], [0008], [0012], [0013]) well known use of lasers in fabrication comprising resist removal, trimming, scribing, drilling, etc. The use of the known interposer material disclosed by Bohr with the fabrication steps known in the art and disclosed in AAPA would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it provides suitable thermal expansion characteristics to the structure.

Taniguchi et al describe (Abstract) removal or resist using a laser and describe (column 6, last paragraph) resist removal and subsequent encapsulation with mold material.

EP472768A and JP11006073A describe well known laser roughening and the use of a rough surface in the fabrication would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it provides better adhesion.

Note that dependent claim method limitations with the article independent claim does not clearly further define article claim structure.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 16, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642

Application/Control Number: 10/706,575

Art Unit: 1725

F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Newberry et al describe old and well known silicon oxide coated silicon as an insulating block 132.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725